

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/664,028                     | 09/17/2003                  | Soo-hong Park        | Q76745              | 2599             |  |
| 23373<br>SUGHRUE M             | 7590 09/11/200<br>HON PLI C | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. |                             |                      | KEEFER, MICHAEL E   |                  |  |
| SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTO         | ON. DC 20037                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                                | ,                           |                      | 2154                |                  |  |
|                                |                             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                |                             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                |                             |                      | 09/11/2008          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 10/664,028        | PARK, SOO-HONG |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit       |  |  |  |  |  |
| MICHAEL E. KEEFER | 2154           |  |  |  |  |  |

|   | WICHAEL E. REEFER                         | 2134                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o         | orrespondence add            | ress             |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ul>  | date of the final rejection.              |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>  | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing     | date of the final rejection  | n.               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | ).  |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hourder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t        | iled within two months       | s of the date of |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.137 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> </ol>   |   |                              | cause            |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  |   | E below);                    |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  |   | to the second second term of |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett<br/>appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   | ter form for appeal by materially rec     | lucing or simplifying ti     | ne issues for    |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | corresponding number of finally reje      | cted claims.                 |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co.         | mpliant Amendment (I         | PTOL-324)        |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>  |   | .,                           |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   |   | imely filed amendmer         | nt canceling the |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov  |   | be entered and an e          | xplanation of    |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: 1.4 and 5.  |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1,4 and 5.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail:     | s to provide a   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   | ,                            |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br>See Continuation Sheet.  | does NOT place the application in         | condition for allowan        | ce because:      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).   |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:  |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |                              |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | /Joseph E. Avellino/                      | -it 2446                     |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Primary Examiner, Art U                   | IIII ∠ 146                   |                  |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner notes that there are other rejections still pending in the Application, so the double petenting rejections of claims 1, 4, and 5 are still maintained. Purch the Applicant asserts that the Examiner does not respond to the previous arguments and amendments. To further clarify, Hinden discloses the EUI-84 format, as well as the general format of an IPv6 network address, as well as using a portion of the address as an area for a serial number. It has become mapped in the pending Office Action where in Hinden these items can be found. The Examiner admits that Hinden does not disclose an area for identifying a type of device. However, Marttinen teaches the use of an area in an address to identify a type of the device. The combination of Hinden and Marttinen does not specifically teach where in the address the field containing the device should be however, this is an obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art because the placement of the field within one byte or another of the address does not provide unexpected results for further detail, Applicant is referred back to the rejection in the pending official action.